Soil properties dynamics induced by passage of fire during agricultural burning
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ABSTRACT
Effects of fire on soil properties was performed in experimental plots, whose fuel amount was altered in order to obtain different heating intensities with the aim of determining changes in the soil physico-chemical parameters at varying heating temperatures. The research was conducted in continuous cropped arable experimental plots, for four growing seasons, from March, 2010 to October, 2011. Core and bulk samples from the burned and adjacent unburned plots (control) were collected for physico-chemical analysis using standard procedures. Temperature differences significantly (P<0.05) affected sand, total nitrogen, organic carbon and pH contents of the soils positively (r=0.518*, 0.478*, 0.582*, 0.595**, respectively), whereas a reduction in the soil temperature increased the concentrations of clay; 1, 0.05 and 0.25 mm and stable soil aggregates in the soil (r=0.619**, 0.578*, 0.780, -0.526*, respectively) after burning. Exchange acidity of surface soil increased from 0.80 cmol kg⁻¹ at initial temperature of 25°C to 5.12 cmol kg⁻¹ at 40°C. Though aggregates formation was significantly higher (P=0.05) after burning than the control soil locations, this soil will easily be distressed with the least application of force. The pH decreased to 5.4 at higher temperatures following burning before ashes mineralized. However, both organic matter and effective cation exchange capacity (ECEC) increased at increasing soil temperature. Potassium content remained surprisingly constant as the soil temperature increased. Despite the merits of quick release of occluded nutrients, heating temperatures of slash-and-burn method of land clearing altered soil quality attributes.
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INTRODUCTION
Slash and burn method of land clearing is an integral part of the traditional farming system (bush fallow rotation) widely used as a means of land clearing to pave way for tillage in southern Nigeria. Depending on management practices being used, human activities like bush burning, fossil fuel uses and deforestation have alter the atmosphere’s composition and earth balance. The invention of fire ignition and its control by man started the anthropogenic modification of biosphere (Neff et al., 2005). Fire has long been recognized as a disturbance that maintains grasslands and savannas and prevents invasion of woody species (Archer et al., 1988; Blair, 1997; Ruddiman, 2003). Therefore, prescribed fire is often employed as a land management tool to suppress the encroachment of woody plants into grass-dominated ecosystems. In humid tropics, fire frequencies and interactions between fire and other disturbance factor (such as tillage equipment and tillage methods) determined to a large extent the balance between trees
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and grasses, stand structure and dynamics, and shrub cover abundance (Edem et al., 2012a,b; Neary et al., 1999; Rice and Owensby, 2000; Ruddiman, 2003).

Above and below ground productivity often increase following fire as a result of microclimatic modification due to removal of litter and standing crop and changes in nutrient availability and distributions (Creighton and Santelices, 2003; National Wildfire Coordinating Group, 2001; Peterson and Reich, 2001).

According to Edem et al. (2012a,b), most land that is left unused in a cropping year is often set on fire by farmers. This is common with the livestock farmers so that their animals could browse on young plants that grow after burning. Before the plants come up to cover the ground surface, the soil is exposed to rainfall. Subsequently, soil aggregates are dispersed; pores are clogged with particles which further result in higher rates of surface runoff (Mallik et al., 1984). The level of alteration may even be enormous if quantity of trash is large and the residence time of burning is long, or a thin dry litter is completely incinerated (Ruddiman, 2005). More severe burns may alter soil fundamental characteristics such as texture, mineralogy and cation-exchange capacity (Johnson and Matchett, 2001).

So far, most research assesses change in organic carbon due to bush burning and few efforts were made to assess the effect on other soil properties. To the best of our knowledge, no study is known to have assessed the spatial variability of soil properties at different heating temperature in humid tropics. Hence, tropical conditions are often under represented.

This research was aimed at developing region-specific approaches and improvement estimates on soil quality factor modifications at varying temperatures. Therefore, the objectives of this study were to assess; (i) changes in soil physical conditions at varying fire temperature and (ii) the fire temperature within which soil quality attributes are depleted.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Study area**

The research was conducted in a continuous cropped arable experimental plots located at the University of Uyo Teaching and Research Farm (UUTRF), Use-Offot, Uyo, Nigeria. Uyo is located between latitudes 4° 30' and 5° 33' N and longitudes 7° 31' and 80 2° E and altitude 65 m from the sea level. The area is divided into two distinct seasons, the wet and dry seasons. The wet or rainy season begins from April and lasts till October. It is characterized by heavy rainfall of about 2500-4000 mm per annum. The rainfall intensity is very high and there is evidence of high leaching and erosion associated with slope and rainfall factors in the area (Edem et al., 2012a,b).

In the area measuring 720 m² on a slope of 7%, were prepared 10 sub-plots; each 24 × 3 m², separated from each other by fireproof tracts (20 cm). In preparing the plots, dry biomass treatments of 50, 100, and 150 kg m⁻² were applied on the cleared plots in order to produce three levels of fire intensities, and progressively fire was set into 9 out of the 10 plots.

**Pre-and-post burnt soil samplings**

Profile pits (50 cm depth) were dug at the centre of each plot. Bulk soil, core and aggregate samples were collected at two depths of 15 cm interval before and after passage of fire before mineralization of the calcium carbonate (CaCO₃) in the ash content. The core samples were obtained for saturated hydraulic conductivity and bulk density determinations. The soil samples were secured in a core, and one end of the core was covered with a piece of cheese cloth fastened with a rubber band and properly labeled while the bulk samples collected were secured in properly labeled polythene bags before taken to the University of Uyo Soil Science Laboratory for physical, chemical and structural parameters determinations using standard methods and procedures (Danielson and Sutherland, 1986).

**Experimental measurements and statistical analyses**

The severity of burning in each site was measured qualitatively from the degree of litter consumption of the applied biomass. Immediately after burning, soil temperatures were read from the installed temperature sensors at the surface and subsurface of the respective plots for the four growing seasons that the experiment lasted. To ensure representative sampling, bulk soil samples, which were analyzed for soil physico-chemical properties, were composed of five random samples taken at 0–15 and 15-30 cm depths within replicated plots.

Particle-size distribution was determined in the soil samples using hydrometer method. Bulk density was estimated by dividing the oven-dry mass of the soil by the volume of the soil. In addition, core samples were also used to determine saturated hydraulic conductivity (Ks) in the laboratory using a constant head permeameter.

Undisturbed soil samples were taken for the determination of water-stable aggregates (WSA) and mean weight diameter (MWD) using a modified Kemper and Rosenau wet sieving method.

Soil organic carbon (SOC) was determined by loss-on-ignition and the standard Van Bemmelen factor (1.724) was used for conversion of SOC into organic matter content.

Total nitrogen was determined by dry combustion using
Effective cation exchange capacity (ECEC) was obtained by addition of the values of exchangeable bases and exchangeable acidity. Base saturation was expressed as the fraction of the negative binding sites occupied by exchangeable cations. It was calculated by summing together the levels of Ca, Mg, K, and Na found in the soil, then expressing this sum as a percentage of the ECEC value as follows:

\[
BS = \frac{100 (\text{Ca} + \text{Mg} + \text{K} + \text{Na})}{\text{ECEC}}
\]

Where, BS represents base saturation (%).

The experiment consisted of two treatments (burned and un-burned plots) arranged in a randomized complete block design (RCBD) with three replicates. Data were statistically analyzed for variance (ANOVA), and significant means were compared using Duncan multiple range test. Paired t-test was used to compare means of the unburnt and burnt plots. For all tests, a threshold of \( P = 0.05 \) was used to define statistical significance. All statistical analyses were performed using SigmaStat (3.5 Edition) and validated using SPSS 17.0. Pearson correlation coefficients were used to assess the degree of relationships among variables.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Regardless of varying fire temperatures, some physical and chemical characteristics of soil before and after experimental fire, clearly and strongly differed between burnt and unburnt soils in this study area as shown in Table 1.

**Particle size distribution and soil texture**

The results obtained show that the total sand fraction with mean value of 838.50 g kg\(^{-1}\) in the burnt plot was significantly (\( P = 0.05 \)) greater than the unburnt plot with the mean value of 772.60 g kg\(^{-1}\). The silt fraction was higher in the unburnt plot with a mean value of 78.86 g kg\(^{-1}\) than the burnt plot with a mean of 47.58 g kg\(^{-1}\). Although Hubbert et al. (2006) reported increase in silt fraction after burning, but this result was in line with the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Soil parameter</th>
<th>Unit of measurement</th>
<th>Pre-burnt plot</th>
<th>Burnt plot</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sand</td>
<td>g kg(^{-1})</td>
<td>772.60 ± 59.01(^a)</td>
<td>838.50 ± 41.85(^a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silt</td>
<td>g kg(^{-1})</td>
<td>78.86 ± 33.60(^a)</td>
<td>47.58 ± 14.40(^b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clay</td>
<td>g kg(^{-1})</td>
<td>148.53 ± 52.24(^a)</td>
<td>114.02 ± 37.03(^a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texture</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ks</td>
<td>cm hr(^{-1})</td>
<td>3.30 ± 3.82(^b)</td>
<td>7.32 ±9.25(^a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BD</td>
<td>g cm(^{-3})</td>
<td>1.59 ± 0.13(^a)</td>
<td>1.67 ± 11.96(^a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>cm(^3) cm(^{-3})</td>
<td>39.88 ± 4.98(^a)</td>
<td>35.98 ± 13.58(^a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ov</td>
<td>cm(^3) cm(^{-3})</td>
<td>2.55 ±0.40(^a)</td>
<td>7.93 ± 14.52(^a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5.9 ± 0.15(^a)</td>
<td>5.4 ± 0.19(^b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC</td>
<td>dS m(^{-1})</td>
<td>0.04 ±0.31(^a)</td>
<td>0.02 ± 0.09(^b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TN</td>
<td>g kg(^{-1})</td>
<td>0.36 ± 0.13(^b)</td>
<td>0.67 ± 0.12(^a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AV. P</td>
<td>mg kg(^{-1})</td>
<td>27.77 ± 4.12(^a)</td>
<td>26.56± 2.75(^a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca</td>
<td>cmol kg(^{-1})</td>
<td>3.12 ± 0.93(^b)</td>
<td>4.98 ± 2.39(^a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg</td>
<td>cmol kg(^{-1})</td>
<td>1.86 ± 0.46(^b)</td>
<td>3.92 ± 2.22(^a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>cmol kg(^{-1})</td>
<td>0.05 ± 0.03(^a)</td>
<td>0.05 ± 0.01(^a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na</td>
<td>cmol kg(^{-1})</td>
<td>0.05 ± 0.01(^a)</td>
<td>0.04 ± 0.10(^b)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\), Means followed by different letters along the rows are significantly different (\( P = 0.05 \)). Ks, saturated hydraulic conductivity; BD, bulk density; Ov, volumetric moisture content; P, total porosity; EC, electrical conductivity; TN, total nitrogen; AV. P, available phosphorus.
Increased after slash and burn. 
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A significant (0.05) increase in saturated hydraulic conductivity (Ks) in the burnt plot was observed with the mean of 7.23 cm hr-1 compared to the unburnt plot having a mean of 3.30 cm hr-1. This observation is contrary to the report of Pyne and Goldammer (1997) who reported that Ks of soil decreased approximately 50% in burnt plots relative to adjacent unburned plots. But Ruddiman (2005), paid attention to the textural characteristics, organic matter content, and structure which appeared to have been responsible for high Ks values. Volumetric moisture content increased after burning with the mean of 7.93 cm3 cm3 compared to 2.55 cm3 cm3 in the unburnt plot. This is in consonance with Mallik et al. (1984) who reported an increase in water retained after burning. The increased in volumetric moisture content in this study however contradict with Edem et al. (2012a,b) who reported reduction in moisture content from 0.13 to 0.03 m3 at a depth of 0-0.5 m in a steep chaparral watershed, southern California, following burning.

Changes in soil chemical properties following burning

Soil pH and electrical conductivity

The pH of the soil significantly decreased after burning with the mean value at 5.4 compared to 5.9 in the unburnt plot (P=0.05). Electrical conductivity (EC) of the soil significantly decreased after burning with the mean of 0.02 dS m-1 compared to 0.04 dS m-1 in unburnt plot (P=0.05). But according Austin and Baisinger (1955) as reported by Hernandez et al. (1997), EC values of burnt plots were higher than that of the unburned plots. The reduction of pH and EC in this research after burning could be ascribed to lack of mineralization of CaCO3 in the ash content due to immediate soil sampling after burning.

Total nitrogen, organic carbon and available phosphorus

Total nitrogen responded to burning with a significant increase in the mean value of 0.67 g kg-1 after burning and 0.36 g kg-1 in the unburned plot. This observation agreed with the earlier work of Neary et al. (1999) who reported increase in availability of total nitrogen after burning.

Surprisingly, organic carbon significantly (P=0.05) increased after burning with mean of 15.97 g kg-1 compared to 9.29 g kg-1 in the unburnt plot. But Pyne and Goldammer (1997) reported that loss of organic carbon in soil occurs as a result of fire depleting the litter on the surface. Though, they did not assess heat intensity at varying temperatures and depth.

Available phosphorus decreased after burning with the mean of 26.56 mg kg-1 compared to 27.77 mg kg-1 in the unburnt plot but was not significant. This is against the report of Neff et al. (2005) and Scheyver et al. (2004) who reported that the ash deposits after burning, helps to fertilize the soil by immediate release of available P and other mineral nutrients-Mg and Ca. However, in this study, the ash was not allowed to mineralize, as samples were collected immediately after burning in order to assess sudden modifications induced to soil properties at varying heating temperature.
Exchangeable bases (Ca, Mg, K and Na) and Exchange acidity

Calcium (Ca) and magnesium (Mg) significantly (P=0.05) increased after burning with the mean of 4.98 and 3.92 cmol kg⁻¹ respectively, compared to 3.12 and 1.86 cmol kg⁻¹ respectively, in the unburnt plot. Potassium (P) content remained 0.05 cmol kg⁻¹. Sodium (Na) significantly (P=0.05) decreased after burning with the mean of 0.04 cmol kg⁻¹ compared to 0.05 cmol kg⁻¹ before burning. The result of Ca and Mg were similar to Opara-Nadi et al. (2010) who reported that burned surface soils tend to have higher concentrations of non-combustible elements such as Ca, K, Mg and Na compared with unburned soil but the result of K is on the contrary. The significant increase (P=0.05) in Ca and Mg in the burnt plots is important because they cause flocculation of soil particles there by encourages aggregation of particles. Decrease in Na is significant because high content of Na⁺ can destroy soil structure through dispersion of the particles which in turn heads to high erosion, but in this case, reduction in Na content after burning signified less susceptibility of this soil erosion. Exchange acidity significantly (P=0.05) decreased after burning with the mean of 1.17 cmol kg⁻¹ compared to 3.42 cmol kg⁻¹ in the unburnt plot.

Effective cation exchange capacity and percentage base saturation

The effective cation exchange capacity (EC) of the soil increased after burning with the mean of 10.37 cmol kg⁻¹ compared to 8.40 cmol kg⁻¹ in the unburnt plot. This increase however was not significant (P=0.05). This could be ascribed to the vegetation burning despite the fact that ash in the burnt biomass was not added or incorporated into the soil before sampling. The percentage base saturation significantly increased with the mean of 86.68% after burning and 61.67% before burning.

Paired samples test for physical and chemical properties of pre- and post-burnt soils

The results of this study (Table 2) indicate a clear distinction of pair differences between soil properties of burnt and unburnt soils. Sand content was 8.52% higher in post-burnt plot than pre-burnt plot (37.28 g kg⁻¹). For silt, it was 65.75% (31.27 g kg⁻¹) higher in pre-burnt plot than post burn plot while clay was 30.26% (4.51 g kg⁻¹) higher in pre-burnt plot than post burn plot and saturated hydraulic conductivity had a percent mean difference of 121% (3.93 cm hr⁻¹) in post-burnt plot than pre-burnt plot. The major determining factor for saturated hydraulic conductivity is the degree of disturbance to the surface of the soil by fire, which is usually organic debris that protects the underlying mineral soil (Valzano et al., 1997). But for bulk density, a percent change was only 5.03% (0.02 g cm⁻³) higher in post-burnt soils than pre-burnt soil and total porosity had a percent mean difference of 10.83% (3.90 cm³ cm⁻³) higher in pre-burnt soil than post-burnt soil while that of moisture content was 210% (5.38 cm³ cm⁻³) in post-burnt soil than pre-burnt soil. According to National Wildfire Coordinating Group (2001), fire can either reduce or increase soil moisture content. It all depends on the distribution of pore sizes higher after the imposed treatment.

Soil pH was 9.25% (0.51) higher in pre-burnt soil than post-burnt soil but electrical conductivity had 100% change from pre-burnt plots (0.02 dS m⁻¹) while total nitrogen had a percent mean difference of 86% (0.31 g kg⁻¹) higher in post-burnt soil than pre-burnt soil. For available phosphorus, it was 4.55% (1.20 cmol kg⁻¹) higher in pre-burnt soil than post-burnt soil and calcium was 59% (1.86 cmol kg⁻¹) higher in post-burnt soil than pre-burnt soil. Magnesium was 110% (2.05 cmol kg⁻¹) higher in post-burnt soil than in pre-burnt soil. Potassium content did not change after passage of fire (0.001 cmol kg⁻¹). But for sodium, percent change was only 25% (0.007 cmol kg⁻¹) higher in pre-burnt plot than post-burnt plot. Paired difference for exchange acidity was 192% (2.24 cmol kg⁻¹) higher in pre-burnt plot than post-burnt plot. While effective cation exchange capacity was 14.69% (1.97 cmol kg⁻¹) higher in post-burnt plot than pre-burnt plot. But for organic carbon, percent change was 69% (6.50 g kg⁻¹) higher in post-burnt plot than pre-burnt plot and base saturation had a percent mean difference of 40.55% (25.00%) higher in post-burnt soil than pre-burnt soil. Fire significantly increased the concentration of non-combustible elements (such as Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺, K⁺), hence increased the fertility status of the soil.

Thermal effect on soil physical properties

As shown in Table 3, fire increased the soil temperature from 24°C (control) to 60°C in both surface and sub-surface soil layer. Sand content in the soil surface layer increased to 861 g kg⁻¹ at temperature of 58°C from 821.00 g kg⁻¹ when the initial temperature rise was 35°C. Whereas in the sub-surface layer, sand content increased to 781.00 g kg⁻¹ at 37°C from 761 g kg⁻¹ when the initial temperature rise was 25°C. At the initial temperature rise of 35°C, the silt content was 47.20 g kg⁻¹ and increased to 67.20 g kg⁻¹ at 58°C in the surface soil. In the sub-surface soil, silt content equally increased to 67.20 g kg⁻¹ at 36°C from 27.20 g kg⁻¹ when the initial temperature rise was 25°C. The increased temperature caused marked variations of the soil physical parameters. Particle-size-distribution showed a continuous increase
Table 2. Variations induced by experimental fire on soil chemical properties.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temp (°C)</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>EC (dSm⁻¹)</th>
<th>TN (g kg⁻¹)</th>
<th>OC (g kg⁻¹)</th>
<th>C:N</th>
<th>AV. P (mg kg⁻¹)</th>
<th>EA (cmol kg⁻¹)</th>
<th>Ca (cmol kg⁻¹)</th>
<th>Mg (cmol kg⁻¹)</th>
<th>K (cmol kg⁻¹)</th>
<th>Na</th>
<th>ECEC</th>
<th>BS (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Surface soil</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 (control)</td>
<td>5.9a</td>
<td>0.03a</td>
<td>0.40c</td>
<td>10.67c</td>
<td>24.07a</td>
<td>27.42a</td>
<td>2.68b</td>
<td>2.96d</td>
<td>1.90d</td>
<td>0.05bc</td>
<td>0.54a</td>
<td>8.13c</td>
<td>63.27c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>5.3c</td>
<td>0.02b</td>
<td>0.60b</td>
<td>14.05d</td>
<td>23.6ab</td>
<td>28.97a</td>
<td>0.80d</td>
<td>6.72b</td>
<td>5.04b</td>
<td>0.06b</td>
<td>0.4b</td>
<td>12.66b</td>
<td>92.76b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>5.9a</td>
<td>0.03a</td>
<td>0.80a</td>
<td>18.80a</td>
<td>23.5ab</td>
<td>25.64b</td>
<td>5.12a</td>
<td>6.72b</td>
<td>5.28b</td>
<td>0.08a</td>
<td>0.05b</td>
<td>17.25a</td>
<td>70.32c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>5.5b</td>
<td>0.02b</td>
<td>0.80a</td>
<td>19.20a</td>
<td>24.00a</td>
<td>25.97b</td>
<td>1.12c</td>
<td>2.88d</td>
<td>2.40c</td>
<td>0.06b</td>
<td>0.06b</td>
<td>6.52</td>
<td>82.85bc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>5.6b</td>
<td>0.03a</td>
<td>0.70ab</td>
<td>17.05b</td>
<td>24.35a</td>
<td>29.8a</td>
<td>0.88d</td>
<td>5.76c</td>
<td>4.80b</td>
<td>0.06b</td>
<td>0.04b</td>
<td>11.54b</td>
<td>92.13b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>5.5b</td>
<td>0.03a</td>
<td>0.80a</td>
<td>19.05a</td>
<td>23.8ab</td>
<td>23.31c</td>
<td>0.56d</td>
<td>2.88d</td>
<td>1.92d</td>
<td>0.06b</td>
<td>0.04b</td>
<td>5.46d</td>
<td>87.37b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>5.6b</td>
<td>0.02b</td>
<td>0.80a</td>
<td>17.80b</td>
<td>22.25b</td>
<td>25.64b</td>
<td>0.80d</td>
<td>3.56c</td>
<td>2.40c</td>
<td>0.04c</td>
<td>0.04b</td>
<td>6.64d</td>
<td>87.99b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>5.6b</td>
<td>0.01c</td>
<td>0.70ab</td>
<td>17.20b</td>
<td>24.57a</td>
<td>25.64b</td>
<td>0.80d</td>
<td>9.12a</td>
<td>7.68a</td>
<td>0.05bc</td>
<td>0.05b</td>
<td>17.7a</td>
<td>95.48a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CV (%)</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>36.11</td>
<td>36.38</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>14.87</td>
<td>54.09</td>
<td>29.8</td>
<td>24.73</td>
<td>5.17</td>
<td>9.43</td>
<td>45.14</td>
<td>16.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub-surface soil</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 (control)</td>
<td>5.9a</td>
<td>0.05a</td>
<td>0.31d</td>
<td>8.10c</td>
<td>23.5a</td>
<td>28.14a</td>
<td>3.42a</td>
<td>3.14cd</td>
<td>1.86cd</td>
<td>0.05ns</td>
<td>0.54a</td>
<td>9.01b</td>
<td>62.47c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>5.5b</td>
<td>0.02b</td>
<td>0.60ab</td>
<td>13.2ab</td>
<td>22.0c</td>
<td>25.97c</td>
<td>1.6b</td>
<td>2.40d</td>
<td>1.14d</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.04b</td>
<td>5.24d</td>
<td>71.12bc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>5.2c</td>
<td>0.02b</td>
<td>0.70a</td>
<td>15.26a</td>
<td>23.13b</td>
<td>25.86bc</td>
<td>0.96c</td>
<td>4.32b</td>
<td>3.52b</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.04b</td>
<td>8.89b</td>
<td>86.16a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>5.3bc</td>
<td>0.02b</td>
<td>0.50bc</td>
<td>12.20b</td>
<td>24.4a</td>
<td>26.31b</td>
<td>1.12b</td>
<td>3.80c</td>
<td>2.88c</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.05b</td>
<td>7.92b</td>
<td>86.71a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>5.2c</td>
<td>0.02b</td>
<td>0.40cd</td>
<td>9.20c</td>
<td>23.0bc</td>
<td>26.97b</td>
<td>0.80c</td>
<td>4.32b</td>
<td>2.4b</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.05b</td>
<td>7.62b</td>
<td>90.12a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>5.3bc</td>
<td>0.01b</td>
<td>0.50b</td>
<td>11.0bc</td>
<td>22.0c</td>
<td>25.97c</td>
<td>0.96c</td>
<td>8.64a</td>
<td>7.2a</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.03b</td>
<td>16.89a</td>
<td>94.32a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>5.3bc</td>
<td>0.01b</td>
<td>0.60ab</td>
<td>14.4a</td>
<td>24.0a</td>
<td>27.64a</td>
<td>1.12b</td>
<td>4.56b</td>
<td>3.60b</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.06b</td>
<td>9.40b</td>
<td>84.25a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CV (%)</td>
<td>3.48</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>17.91</td>
<td>17.74</td>
<td>3.87</td>
<td>10.35</td>
<td>87.17</td>
<td>47.99</td>
<td>56.63</td>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>39.11</td>
<td>9.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Means followed by different letter along the column within the soil layer are significantly (P=0.05) different.

Ns: Not significantly different; CV, coefficient of variation; EC, electrical conductivity; TN, total nitrogen; AV.P, available phosphorus; EA, exchange acidity; BS, base saturation; ECEC, effective cation exchange capacity.

The data show that the temperature rise in the surface layer was significantly higher than in the sub-surface layer. The temperature rise in the surface layer was 35°C, whereas in the sub-surface, saturated hydraulic conductivity increased to 3.60 cm hr⁻¹ at temperature of 30°C from 2.40 cm hr⁻¹, when the initial temperature rise was 25°C. The initial temperature rise of 35°C, bulk density was 1.75 g cm⁻³ but increased to 1.76 g cm⁻³ at 58°C in the soil surface, whereas in the sub-surface soil, bulk density increased to 1.75 g cm⁻³ at 33°C from 1.64 g cm⁻³ when the initial temperature rise was 25°C. At the initial temperature rise of 35°C, total porosity was 34.00 cm⁻³ but increased to 57.00 cm⁻³ at 30°C and 35°C from 36.00 cm⁻³ when the initial temperature was 25°C. The average density values reported in literature (Hillel, 1980) for organic and mineral soils (average) are 1.3 g cm⁻³ and 2.65 g cm⁻³ respectively. Therefore, increase in bulk density after fire is considered attributable to an increased contribution, weighted according to their volume fraction of minerals characterized by higher density. At temperature of 60°C, moisture content increase to 7.37 cm⁻³ from 3.14 cm⁻³ when the initial temperature
rise was 35°C in the surface soil. In the subsurface soil, moisture content increased to 8.49 cm³ cm⁻³ at 37°C from 2.95 cm³ cm⁻³ when the initial temperature rise was 25°C. Heat transfer in the soil occurs mainly by thermal conduction, and the conductivity increases with the moisture content (Edem et al., 2012a,b). Thus, heating dry soil should cause a greater rise in surface temperature, but less heat penetration compared with moist soil. If we consider the percentage decrease of the moisture content, we will note that at lower temperature, from 48°C up to 58°C, the decrease ranges between 31.5 and 29.5%, whereas at higher temperature, 60°C, it reaches the value of 73.7%. In the surface soils, the highest content of sand, silt, clay and saturated hydraulic conductivity change was noticed at 58 and 49°C whereas the least change in bulk density, total porosity and moisture content was observed at 60, 58 and 50°C, respectively. In the sub-surface soil, highest content of sand, clay and saturated hydraulic conductivity changes was noticed at 30, 25, and 33°C, whereas the least change in silt, bulk density, total porosity, and moisture content was observed at 25, 36 and 37°C. Overall, the most varied physical property at the soil surface was total porosity (CV=37.74%) and the least varied was sand (CV=5.16%). In the sub-surface layer, the most varied physical property was silt (CV=42.17%) while the least varied was sand (CV=7.63%).

**Thermal effects on soil chemical properties**

The thermal effect on soil chemical properties of
both surface and sub-surface soil are presented in Table 2. Following burning, different temperatures were measured at surface and sub-surface soil layers. In the surface layer, the temperatures were 35, 40, 49, 50, 58
and 60°C while the temperatures for sub-surface soil were 25, 30, 33 and 37°C.

Soil pH (Table 2) decreased with increasing temperature up to 60°C, this was probably due to the lowering the buffer action associated with denaturing of the colloids and the combustion of organic matter. The successive increase between 35 and 40°C is probably attributable to the loss of hydroxyl (OH) groups resulting from the denaturing of clay mineral (Giovannini et al., 1990). At 24°C electrical conductivity was 0.03 dS m⁻¹, however, electrical conductivity was irregularly distributed as temperature increased in the surface soil. But in the sub-surface layer, electrical conductivity decreased to 0.01 dS m⁻¹ at heating temperature of 36°C, and 37°C from 0.02 dS m⁻¹ when the initial temperature rise was 25°C.

Relative highest value of total nitrogen (0.80 g kg⁻¹) was noticed at 35, 40, 48, 50 and 58°C in the surface soil, whereas in the sub-soil, high value of total nitrogen (0.70 g kg⁻¹) was noticed only at 30°C. Was it a matter of compensation between the decrease caused by volatilization in the sub-surface layer or is the soil N not affected by increase heating? At this time, we are unable to account for the balance between the outputs and inputs of N.

At the initial temperature of 35°C, the content of available phosphorus was 30.97 mg kg⁻¹ but decreased to 29.80 mg kg⁻¹ at 49°C. At the sub-surface soil, available phosphorus increased to 27.64 mg kg⁻¹ at 30°C from 25.97 mg kg⁻¹ when the initial temperature rise was 25°C. Increase in available P with temperature increase at sub-surface layer, confirming the report of Giovannini et al. (1990) that the available phosphorus is the outcome of the mineralization process of organic phosphorus.

At the surface soil, the highest calcium content (9.12 cmol kg⁻¹) was observed at 35 and 60°C. Whereas at the sub-surface soil, calcium increase to 8.64 cmol kg⁻¹ at 36°C from 2.40 cmol kg⁻¹ at initial temperature of 25°C. At the sub-face soil, calcium increased to 8.64 cmol kg⁻¹ at 36°C from 2.40 cmol kg⁻¹ at initial temperature of 25°C. At the soil surface, the highest magnesium content (7.68 cmol kg⁻¹) was observed at 35 and 60°C, whereas at the sub-surface soil, Mg increased to 7.20 cmol kg⁻¹ at 36°C from 1.14 cmol kg⁻¹ at initial temperature of 25°C. Potassium increased to 0.08 cmol kg⁻¹ at 35°C and 0.05 cmol kg⁻¹ at 40°C at the surface soil, whereas at the sub-surface soil, K increased to 0.07 cmol kg⁻¹ at 33°C from 0.06 cmol kg⁻¹ at initial temperature rise of 25°C. Sodium decreased in the surface layer to 0.06 cmol kg⁻¹ at 48°C from 0.04 cmol kg⁻¹ when the initial temperature rise was 35°C, whereas at the sub-surface soil, Na increased to 0.06 cmol kg⁻¹ at 37°C from 0.04 cmol kg⁻¹ from the initial temperature rise of 25°C.

Exchange acidity increased to 5.12 cmol kg⁻¹ at 40°C from 0.80 cmol kg⁻¹ at initial temperature of 25°C at the surface soil but at the sub-surface, exchange acidity decreased to 1.12 cmol kg⁻¹ at 33 and 36°C, from 1.60 cmol kg⁻¹ when the initial temperature rise was 25°C.

Effective cation exchange capacity increased to 17.71 cmol kg⁻¹ at 60°C from 17.70 cmol kg⁻¹ when the initial temperature rise was 35°C at the surface soil. At the sub-soil, effective cation exchange capacity increased to 16.89 cmol kg⁻¹ at 36°C from 5.54 cmol kg⁻¹ when the initial temperature rise was 25°C.

At the surface soil, organic carbon increased to 19.20 g kg⁻¹ at 48°C from 18.90 g kg⁻¹ at the initial temperature of 35°C, whereas at the sub-surface soil, organic carbon increased to 15.26 g kg⁻¹ at 30°C from 13.20 g kg⁻¹ at initial temperature of 25°C. Base saturation increased to 95.48% at 35°C from 95.40% when the initial temperature rise was 35°C, whereas at the sub-surface, base saturation increase to 94.32% at 36°C from 71.12% at the initial temperature of 25°C. C: N ratio increased to 24.57 at 60°C from 23.63 when the initial temperature rise was 35°C at the surface layer. At the sub-surface soil layer, C: N ratio increased to 24.40 at 33°C from 22.00 when initial temperature rise was 25°C. Despite pronounced variability in soil chemical properties at different heat intensity, the most varied chemical property of the soil at the surface was electrical conductivity (CV=75.00%) while the least varied was pH (CV=2.63%). In the sub-surface soil, the most varied chemical property was exchange acidity (CV=87.17%) while the least varied was potassium (CV=1.69%).

**Correlation of heating temperatures and depth with soil properties**

As summarized in Table 4, the correlation of heating temperatures and depths with soil properties in the pre-burnt and burnt plots of arable field revealed that, clay, 1 mm, 0.5 mm stable aggregate and organic carbon relates positively and highly significant (P=0.05) with depth in the burnt plots (r=0.648**, 0.718**, 0.712**, 0.840*, respectively). This implies that these parameters increase with corresponding increase in depth. But total nitrogen stock, sand, saturated hydraulic conductivity, total nitrogen, soil carbon stock, pH and electrical conductivity correlated negatively and highly significant with soil depth (r=-0.617**, -0.656**, -0.478*, -0.753**, -0.697**, -0.835**, -0.544*, respectively). Therefore, increase in soil depth decreased the concentration of these soil parameters (acidity increases) under burnt condition. While the significantly high coefficient of determination indicates that most of the variability noticed in the burnt plots could be explained by the measured parameters.

Temperature differences affected sand, total nitrogen
Table 4. Significantly related soil properties with depth and temperature in the burnt and pre-burnt soils.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Soil property</th>
<th>r-value</th>
<th>Soil property</th>
<th>r-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Depth</td>
<td></td>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-burnt</td>
<td>TN</td>
<td>-0.617**</td>
<td>Sand</td>
<td>0.518*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WSA 0.5</td>
<td>0.820**</td>
<td>Clay</td>
<td>-0.619**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Clay</td>
<td>0.648**</td>
<td>WSA 1 mm</td>
<td>-0.578*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ks</td>
<td>-0.478*</td>
<td>WSA 0.5 mm</td>
<td>-0.780**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WSA 1 mm</td>
<td>0.718**</td>
<td>WSA 0.25 mm</td>
<td>-0.526*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-burnt</td>
<td>WSA 0.5 mm</td>
<td>0.712**</td>
<td>TN</td>
<td>0.478*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TNS</td>
<td>-0.753**</td>
<td>OC</td>
<td>0.582*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OC</td>
<td>0.840**</td>
<td>pH</td>
<td>0.595**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SCS</td>
<td>-0.697**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>pH</td>
<td>-0.835**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EC</td>
<td>-0.544*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-burnt</td>
<td>Clay</td>
<td>0.481*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ks</td>
<td>-0.673**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BD</td>
<td>0.636**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
<td>-0.643**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WSA 1 mm</td>
<td>0.773**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level. *Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level. Ks, saturated hydraulic conductivity; BD, bulk density; P, total porosity; EC, electrical conductivity; TN, total nitrogen; WSA, water stable aggregates; OC, organic carbon.

organic carbon and pH contents of the soils, positively ($r=0.518^*$, $0.478^*$, $0.582^*$, $0.595^*$, respectively), whereas a reduction in the soil temperature increased the concentrations of clay, 1, 0.05 and 0.25 mm stable soil aggregates in the soil ($r=-0.619^*$, $-0.578^*$, $-0.780^*$, $-0.526^*$, respectively) after burning. Thus, based on the correlation results, soil management in burnt plot based on soil aggregates of 1, 0.05, 0.25 mm and Total N, organic C, and pH fertility would lead to better management decisions.

Under pre-burnt condition, depth correlates positively and significantly with clay, bulk density, 1 and 0.5 mm stable soil aggregates to water ($r=0.481^*$, 0.636**, 0.773** and 0.820**, respectively). This means that as the soil depth increased, clay, bulk density, 1 mm and 0.5 mm water stable aggregate also increased.

As expected, sand, saturated hydraulic conductivity and total porosity decreased with an increase in depth ($r=-0.542^*$, 0.673** and -0.643**, respectively) in the un-burnt plots. This shows that increase in soil depth decreased sand fraction ($K_s$) and total porosity. The negative relationships would seem to relate poor pore tortuosity down the profile.

Conclusion

Burning results in changes in soil temperature, soil moisture and nutrient availability. Fire significantly affects soil properties due to rapidly combusted organic matter on the soil surface. The organic matter acts as the primary reservoir for several nutrients, stable aggregates and infiltration. Also, this may reduce the resistance of the soil to erosion due to tensile cracks and excess pore-water associated with burning during the first down pour. However, this research has shown that there is immediate increase in plant nutrients due to the release of occluded minerals after burning, but sure consequences of repeated vegetation burning might be detrimental to soil health.

RECOMMENDATIONS

The results of this study indicate the need for a review of the method of land clearing for sustainable agricultural production. Therefore, sequential soil samplings should be carried out after slash-and-burn land clearing, for at least, monthly for four growing seasons, to assess further changes in the soil quality attributes.
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